UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,634	01/13/2009	Akihiro Kaneko	JP920030316US1	4238
	7590 11/22/201 OLSEN & WATTS	0	EXAMINER	
22 CENTURY			WU, QING YUAN	
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY	12110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2196	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/597,634	KANEKO, AKIHIRO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	QING WU	2196		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the co	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,3-19 and 26-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) 26-37 and 39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-19 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2009 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/06,8/17/06,4/14/08.	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) ☐ Notice of Informal 6) ☐ Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 2196

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responding to the preliminary amendment filed on 8/2/06. Claims 1,
 3-19 and 26-39 are pending in the application.

Specification

- 2. Applicant is suggested to place corresponding sections of the specification under the proper headings (i.e. reinserting the section Brief Description of the Drawings on pgs. 42-44 in the proper order).
- 3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Art Unit: 2196

(i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 1, 3-19 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because it is directed to software alone without claiming associated computer hardware required for execution, and software alone fails to fall within a statutory category of invention. As claimed, the various units of the management server are nonetheless software [specification, pg. 31, lines 1-31], in addition, since applicant failed to specifically define "a management server", the examiner is giving it the broadest reasonable interpretation as known in the art to include statutory (i.e. hardware implementation) and non-statutory embodiment (i.e. software implementation), therefore the server is not limited to statutory embodiment. Furthermore, a "storing unit" can nonetheless be interpreted as a data structure (i.e. queue, database, table etc), therefore the claim is directed to software only.

Art Unit: 2196

Applicant should consider claiming hardware as a part of the server to overcome the rejection.

Claims 1, 3-18 and 38 are rejected for same reason as claim 19 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 26-37 and 39 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-19 and 38 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejections above.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: performing requested job by a system having multiple processing servers and a management server was disclosed in U.S. Patent 7,464,159 and U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0225711. Chaining multiple applications to accomplish a single task was disclosed in U.S. Patent 7,610,371. The prior arts of record when taken individually or in combination do not expressly teach or render obvious the invention in the context of claims 1, 19 and 26 as a whole.
- 10. Neither a reference uncovered that would have provided a basis of evidence for asserting a motivation, nor one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, knowing the teaching of the prior arts of record would have combined them to arrived at the present invention as recited in the context of claims 1, 19 and 26 as a whole.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QING WU whose telephone number is (571)272-3776. The

Art Unit: 2196

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emerson Puente can be reached on (571) 272-3652. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/QING-YUAN WU/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2196